1 2 3 4 5 6 7	KINSELLA WEITZMAN ISER KUMP HOLLEY LLP MICHAEL J. KUMP (SBN 100983) mkump@kwikhlaw.com NICHOLAS C. SOLTMAN (SBN 277418) nsoltman@kwikhlaw.com 808 Wilshire Boulevard, 3 rd Floor Santa Monica, California 90401 Telephone: 310.566.9800 Facsimile: 310.566.9850 Attorneys for Plaintiffs ZUFFA, LLC and ULTIMATE FIGHTING PRODUCTIONS, LLC				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALL	IFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION			
10					
11	ZUFFA, LLC, a Nevada limited	Case No. 2:22-cv-5864			
12	liability company, d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING CHAMPIONSHIP, and ULTIMATE FIGHTING	COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AND VIOLATION			
13	PRODUCTIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	OF THE DIGITAL MILLENIUM COPYRIGHT ACT			
14	Plaintiffs,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
15	vs.				
16	2107697 ALBERTA LTD., a Canadian	Trial Date: None Set			
17	corporation, d/b/a SCORE G PRODUCTIONS; ADAM SCORGIE, a				
18 19	Canadian individual; ELECTRIC PANDA ENTERTAINMENT INC., a Canadian corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,				
20					
21	Defendants.				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is a copyright infringement case by Zuffa, LLC, d/b/a Ultimate Fighting Championship ("UFC") and Ultimate Fighting Productions, LLC against 2107697 Alberta Ltd., d/b/a Score G Productions (hereafter, "Score G"); its principal, Adam Scorgie; and Electric Panda Entertainment Inc. Score G is Scorgie's production company, which, along with Electric Panda, produced a documentary entitled *Bisping: The Michael Bisping Story*, about former UFC fighter Michael Bisping.

- 2. This court has original and exclusive jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).
- 3. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3), because the defendants are not residents of the United States.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 4. UFC is a Nevada Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business in Las Vegas. It is the world's premier mixed martial arts organization (MMA), with more than 675 million fans and 185 million social media followers across the globe. The organization produces more than 40 live events annually that consistently sell out some of the most prestigious arenas around the globe, and its programming is broadcast in over 175 countries and territories, via more than 60 global broadcast partners, to more than 1.1 billion TV households worldwide in over 40 different languages.
- 5. UFC is engaged in the business of distributing its copyrighted materials, as defined in 17 U.S.C. § 101, for, among other things, purchase over the internet or via cable or satellite TV on a Pay-Per-View basis. In December 2013, UFC launched UFC FIGHT PASS®, a digital subscription service, which delivers exclusive live events, thousands of fights on-demand and original content to fans around the world.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 6. UFC invests substantial money, time and effort in advertising, promoting, selling, and licensing its programming, including the broadcasts at issue in this case (the "Broadcasts"), all of which are registered. (Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a chart listing the respective copyright registration numbers of the Broadcasts.) As the copyright owner¹ of the Broadcasts, UFC possesses the exclusive right to, inter alia, exhibit, distribute, disseminate and perform the Broadcasts publicly.
- 7. Given that UFC's business depends in large part on its intellectual property and, more specifically, the copyrights it holds, it is not surprising that UFC licenses fight clips—including, of particular relevance here, "fight finishes," i.e., the final few seconds before the knockout, technical knockout, submission, etc. And, indeed, many customers, including other filmmakers, have licensed UFC's clips through this channel.
- 8. But not Score G. Unable to make a compelling presentation about Michael Bisping on its own, Score G decided to exploit UFC's intellectual property without permission or obtaining a license for its use. After all, a documentary about a fighter with only talking head interviews would be dull. But a documentary with 20 minutes of fights, including some of UFC's most memorable ones—that is entertaining. The problem was that in order to use these valuable assets, licenses had to be obtained.
- 9. The extent of Score G's use of UFC's intellectual property in *Bisping* is astounding. In total, the documentary used portions of 24 different copyrighted works owned by UFC—including at least two fights that did not even feature the subject of the documentary. These copyrighted works are interspersed across over

¹ As set forth in Exhibit A, one of the copyrights at issue is registered to Ultimate Fighting Productions, LLC, an affiliate of Zuffa.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

160 clips or scenes totaling almost nineteen (19) minutes of the film's 109-minute runtime.

- 10. These works include, but are not limited to, substantial portions of some of UFC's most famous fights, such as the 13-second bout between Jose Aldo and Conor McGregor at UFC 194; the main event at UFC 100 between Brock Lesnar and Frank Mir II; and one of the most famous knockouts in MMA history, by Dan Henderson over Bisping at UFC 100.
- Ironically, UFC only got wind of the documentary because Bisping 11. himself (a sometime commentator for UFC fights and an executive producer of the film) reached out to a producer contact at UFC. The UFC producer, in turn, encouraged Bisping to have Score G contact UFC to discuss licensing. It never did. Not only that; Score G never even approached UFC to let UFC know what it was doing.
- 12. Evidently, Score G believes that it did not need to license the Broadcasts from UFC because the film is a documentary. But if *Bisping* is fair use, then any network, studio or producer could make a documentary about UFC, and devote most of the documentary to simply rebroadcasting UFC fights, interviews, and the like—all without permission from UFC. Moreover, Score G's fair use argument is completely inconsistent with the practice of documentary filmmakers in general. As noted above, UFC is routinely contacted by documentary filmmakers seeking to obtain licenses for UFC intellectual property, including those making documentaries about other UFC fighters. And when UFC makes its own content, it also routinely seeks and obtains licenses before using copyrighted works; it does not just sample background music and call it "fair use."
- Although Score G is based in Canada, the bulk of its distribution and 13. production occurs in California, and it is aware of the copyright laws of the United States. Similarly, while Electric Panda is based in Canada, the bulk of its distribution and production occurs in the United States.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14. In other words, both Score G and Electric Panda know—and knew that permission from the copyright owner must be obtained before using copyright works, particularly in connection with commercial projects like the one at issue here. Score G did not do that. Rather, it engaged in flagrant and willful infringement of UFC's copyrights. Through this suit, UFC seeks all appropriate redress for Score G and Electric Panda's copyright infringement.

PARTIES

- 15. Plaintiff Zuffa, LLC, d/b/a Ultimate Fighting Championship, is a Nevada limited liability corporation with its principal place of business in Las Vegas.
- Plaintiff Ultimate Fighting Productions, LLC, is a Nevada limited 16. liability corporation with its principal place of business in Las Vegas.
- 17. On information and belief, Defendant 2107697 Alberta Ltd., d/b/a Score G Productions is a numbered Alberta corporation with its principal place of business in Edmonton, Alberta.
- 18. On information and belief, Defendant Adam Scorgie is a Canadian documentary film producer based in Edmonton, Alberta.
- 19. On information and belief, Defendant Electric Panda Entertainment, Inc. is a Canadian federal corporation with its principal place of business in Toronto, Ontario. It bills itself as an "international content creator and financier" that "creates and delivers transformational content through the development, production and financing of socially relevant intellectual properties for film and television."
- 20. Defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are individuals and entities who were involved in, or were responsible in some manner for, some or all of the acts of infringement alleged herein, and they are liable to UFC for those infringements. UFC will amend this complaint to state the true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 10 when their names and capacities, along with facts respecting their responsibility for the infringements, have been ascertained.

FURTHER ALLEGATIONS

- 21. Score G and Electric Panda released *Bisping* internationally in March of this year.
- 22. Score G and Electric Panda have made *Bisping* available on the internet such that viewers can view the film on demand on personal computers, tablets, mobile devices, and internet enabled televisions. On information and belief, in the United States, the film is available digitally for rent or purchase on Amazon, DirecTV, iTunes, Microsoft, Google Play, Redbox, Spectrum, Vudu/Fandango, and YouTube.
- 23. On information and belief, the film is also available digitally for rent or purchase in Australia, Canada, the United Kingdom, Germany, Spain, France, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, and Sweden.
- 24. On information and belief, the film is also available for purchase in physical media: namely, on DVD and Blu-Ray. And, on information and belief, Score G plans to license *Bisping* to other television and streaming providers, both domestically and internationally.
- 25. As relevant to the production and distribution of *Bisping*, to the extent Electric Panda financed but did not produce the film, it is liable for Score G's copyright infringement alleged herein pursuant to the doctrine of vicarious infringement. On information and belief, Electric Panda had the right and ability to control the production and distribution of *Bisping*. On information and belief, Electric Panda received a financial benefit from the production and distribution of *Bisping*.
- 26. As relevant to the production and distribution of *Bisping*, and again to the extent Electric Panda financed but did not produce the film, it is also liable for Score G's copyright infringement alleged herein pursuant to the doctrine of contributory infringement. Electric Panda knew of Score G's planned infringing activity with respect to the production and distribution of *Bisping*—indeed, it would

1 2 3 4 Bisping. 5 27. 6 7 8 (a) 9 (b) 10 (c) 11 (d) 12 (e) 13 (f) 14 (g) 15 (h) **16** (i) **17** (j) 18 (k) 19 (1) 20 (m)21 (n) 22 (0)23 (p) 24 (q) 25 (r) **26** (s) 27 (t)

(u)

have been obvious from viewing the first cut. On information and belief, Electric Panda, as the principal financier of the film, knew of the alleged infringing activity, and induced, caused, or materially contributed to the production and distribution of FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF: COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT Zuffa is the owner of copyrights in the following audiovisual works, which have been registered with the United States Copyright Office: The Ultimate Fighter Season 3 Finale *UFC 70 – Bisping v. Sinosic* UFC 75 – Bisping v. Hamill UFC 83 – Bisping v. McCarthy UFC 85 - Bisping v. DayUFC 89 – Bisping v. Leben UFC 100 – Bisping v. Henderson I UFC 100 – Lesnar v. Mir UFC 105 - Bisping v. Kang UFC 114 – Bisping v. Miller UFC 120 – Bisping v. Akiyama UFC 127 – Bisping v. Rivera *UFC on FX 7 – Bisping v. Belfort* UFC FN 48 – Bisping v. Cung Le UFC FN 55 – Bisping v. Rockhold *UFC 186 – Bisping v. Dollaway* UFC 194 – McGregor v. Aldo UFC FN 84 – Bisping v. Silva UFC 199 – Bisping v. Rockhold II UFC 204 – Bisping v. Henderson II

UFC 217 – Bisping v. GSP

COMPLAINT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

(v) UFC	FN 122	2-Bisping	v Gas	stelum ²
١	ν,	OFC	111 122	$ \boldsymbol{D}$ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$	v. Ou	sieium

- 28. Defendants used the above audiovisual works in *Bisping* without consent or license from UFC.
- To the extent it may not have directly used these audiovisual works, 29. Electric Panda is liable for such infringements pursuant to either or both the doctrines of vicarious infringement and contributory infringement.
- As a result of Defendants' acts and omissions as described above, UFC 30. has suffered damages and will continue to suffer damages in an amount that is presently unknown.
- 31. Defendants' infringement entitles UFC to recover its actual damages and Defendants' profits attributable to the infringement.
- Defendants' infringement entitles UFC to recover statutory damages in 32. the maximum amount permitted by 17 U.S.C. § 504.
 - Defendants' infringement was willful. 33.
- Defendants' infringement entitles UFC to recover its attorneys' fees 34. pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.
- Defendants' infringement of UFC's copyrights have caused and will 35. cause irreparable harm to UFC that cannot be fully compensated by money. Because UFC has no adequate remedy at law, UFC is entitled to appropriate injunctive relief prohibiting Defendants from further unauthorized use of UFC's copyrighted audiovisual works.

² The copyright in the audiovisual work listed in (a) is held by Ultimate Fighting Productions, LLC; all others are held by Zuffa. In addition, the copyrights in the works listed in (n) and (o) were registered after the release of *Bisping*.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF: VIOLATION OF THE DIGITAL MILLENNIUM COPYRIGHT ACT

- 36. The Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA") provides in pertinent part that "[n]o person shall circumvent a technological measure that effectively controls access to a work protected under [the Copyright Act]." 17 U.S.C. § 1201(a)(1)(A).
- 37. Various copies of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works incorporate technological protection measures ("TPMs") to protect against unauthorized access to and copying of Plaintiffs' copyrighted content. By way of example, copies of the audiovisual works discussed above in the First Claim for Relief are generally distributed through UFC FIGHT PASS®, UFC's digital subscription service. This online service incorporates industry standard TPMs, generally in the form of digital rights management ("DRM") software.
- 38. On information and belief, Score G circumvented TPMs in order to use and copy one or more of UFC's copyrighted works set out above. Indeed, it is unlikely that Score G could have used or copied some or all of the audiovisual works discussed above without circumventing TPMs; the only alternative would be noticeably poor in visual quality.
- Score G's apparent circumvention of TPMs was not authorized and was 39. in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1201.
- 40. Each circumvention in violation of the DMCA constitutes a separate and independent unlawful act from those stated in the first claim for relief.
- 41. UFC has sustained and will sustain actual damage as the result of Score G's DMCA violations, including, among other things, damages to the value of the copyrighted works and lost licensing fees. UFC is also entitled to Defendants' profits from their violations of the DMCA.
- 42. Alternatively, and at their election, UFC is entitled to an award of the maximum statutory damages as permitted by the DMCA.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

43. To the extent it was not directly involved in the circumvention of the TPMs, Electric Panda is liable for such infringements pursuant to either or both the doctrines of vicarious liability and contributory liability.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- For damages according to proof at trial; A.
- For all profits attributable to Defendants' infringement and violations В. of the DMCA;
- C. For maximum statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 504 and 1203;
- D. For attorneys' fees and costs of suit pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 505 and 1203;
- For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest to the maximum extent E. permitted by law;
- For a declaration that Defendants have infringed UFC's copyrights, as F. set out above, and that such infringements were willful;
- G. For a declaration that Defendants have violated the DMCA, as set out above, and that such violations were willful; and
- H. For appropriate injunctive relief prohibiting Defendants from using UFC's copyrighted works, and circumventing technological protection measures with respect to those works, without license or authorization.

1	DATED: August 18, 2022
2 3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
222324	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	

Respectfully Submitted,

KINSELLA WEITZMAN ISER KUMP HOLLEY LLP

By:

Michael J. Kump Nicholas C. Soltman Attorneys for Plaintiffs ZUFFA, LLC (d/b/a Ultimate Fighting Championship) and ULTIMATE FIGHTING PRODUCTIONS, LLC

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment of the United States Constitution and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

6 DATED: August 18, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

KINSELLA WEITZMAN ISER KUMP HOLLEY LLP

By: Maa 9

Michael J. Kump Nicholas C. Soltman

Attorneys for Plaintiffs ZUFFA, LLC (d/b/a Ultimate Fighting Championship) and ULTIMATE FIGHTING

PRODUCTIONS, LLC

Exhibit A

	Title	Registration Number
1.	The Ultimate Fighter 3: no. 313, Live event finale. ³	PA0001260945
2.	UFC 70: Nations Collide.	PA0001606516
3.	UFC 75: Champion vs. Champion.	PA0001607001
4.	UFC 78: Validation.	PA0001621511
5.	UFC 83: Sierra vs. St. Pierre	PA0001621514
6.	UFC 85: Bedlam	PA0001621492
7.	UFC 88: Breakthrough.	PA0001659970
8.	UFC 89: Bisping v. Leben.	PA0001659949
9.	UFC 100 Making History (Bisping v. Henderson I)	PA0001648165
10.	UFC 100 Making History (Lesnar v. Mir)	PA0001648165
11.	UFC 105: Couture vs. Vera	PA0001665772
12.	UFC 114: Rampage vs. Evans-Program Line Cut.	PA0001716722
13.	UFC 120: Bisping vs. Akiyama- Program Line Cut.	PA0001745478
14.	UFC 127: Penn vs. Fitch-Program Line Cut.	PA0001753236
15.	UFC on FX: Belfort v Bisping – Program Line Cut.	PA0001842391

³ Registered to Ultimate Fighting Productions, LLC; all other works registered to Zuffa, LLC.

KINSELLA WEITZMAN ISER KUMP HOLLEY LLP 808 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 3RD FLOOR SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401 TEL 310.566.9800 • FAX 310.566.9850

	Title	Registration Number
16.	UFC 186: Demtrious [sic] Johnson v. Kyoji Horiguchi	PA0001958817
17.	UFC 194: Jose Aldo v. Conor McGregor	PA0001984511
18.	UFC FIGHT NIGHT: Silva vs Bisping	PA0002038270
19.	UFC 199: Luke Rockhold v Michael Bisping 2	PA0002012603
20.	UFC 204 : Preliminary Line Cut : 100816	PA0002016504
21.	UFC 217: Michael Bisping v Georges St-Pierre - Program Line Cut.	PA0002123368
22.	UFC Fight Night: Michael Bisping v Kelvin Gastelum	PA0002123386
23.	UFC Fight Night: Bisping v. Cung Le	PA0002364665
24.	UFC Fight Night: Luke Rockhold v. Michael Bisping	PA0002364668